



Quarterly Family Care Activity Report

For the quarter ending
June 30, 2005

October 2005

Department of Health and Family Services
Division of Disability and Elder Services
Bureau of Long-Term Support – Managed Care Section

Quarterly Family Care Activity Report

For the 2nd quarter, ending June 30, 2005

Family Care is an innovative long-term care program operating in nine counties in Wisconsin. The Governor and Legislature authorized this program in order to develop and test a comprehensive and flexible long-term care service system that will:

- Give people better choices about where they live and what kinds of services and supports they get to meet their needs;
- Improve access to services;
- Improve quality through a focus on health and social outcomes; and
- Create a cost-effective system for the future.

Family Care was designed to serve three target populations: frail elderly individuals and adults with physical or developmental disabilities. Family Care has two major organizational components:

- **Aging and Disability Resource Centers** offer information, assistance, and a limited number of services to the general public with a focus on issues affecting older people, people with disabilities, and their families. These centers provide information, advice and access to a wide variety of services. They also serve as a clearinghouse for information about long-term care for physicians, hospital discharge planners, and other professionals who work with older people or people with disabilities. Services are provided through the telephone or in visits to individuals' homes.

Aging and disability resource centers began operating in early 1998. Currently resource centers are operational in nine counties: Fond du Lac, La Crosse, Milwaukee (serving the elderly population only), Portage, Richland, Marathon, Trempealeau, and Jackson. Two resource centers serve Kenosha County—one for individuals with developmental disabilities or mental illness, and one for elderly individuals and individuals with physical disabilities.

- **Care Management Organizations (CMOs)** manage and deliver a wide variety of covered long-term care services, known as the Family Care benefit, for financially eligible elderly individuals and adults with disabilities. The Family Care benefit combines funding and services from a variety of existing programs into one flexible package of long-term care services, tailored to each individual's needs, circumstances and preferences. CMOs develop and manage a comprehensive set of long-term care services and support, either by providing the service with CMO staff or by purchasing the service from other providers. Each CMO receives a flat monthly payment for each member enrolled in the CMO, who may be living at home, in a group living situation, or in a nursing facility.

Care management organization (CMO) sites began operating in 2000. Currently, five CMOs are operational in five counties: Fond du Lac, La Crosse, Milwaukee (serving the elderly population only), Portage, and Richland.

Resource Center Information and Assistance

Individuals who need information and assistance related to long-term care services get in touch with resource centers in several ways. Some individuals are referred to the resource center by facilities that provide residential long-term care, which are required by law to inform the resource centers of individuals who are seeking admission. These referrals are known as pre-admission consultation (PAC) referrals. Individuals also contact the resource centers in response to outreach activities that publicize resource center services among Family Care's target populations.

Table 1 presents the number of PAC referrals received by each resource center during the four most recently completed quarters. **Table 2** presents the source of the PAC referrals by facility type.

Table 1
Pre-Admission Consultation Referrals Received
Most recent four quarters

Resource Center	July - September 2004	October - December 2004	January - March 2005	April - June 2005	Total
Counties without CMOs					
Jackson	30	25	25	24	104
Kenosha Aging & PD	281	164	281	268	994
Kenosha DD	0	0	0	0	0
Marathon	287	255	271	283	1,096
Trempealeau	69	71	68	64	272
Counties with CMOs					
Fond du Lac	115	111	116	117	459
La Crosse	193	200	269	238	900
Milwaukee	1,363	1,406	1,474	1,509	5,752
Portage	105	87	87	79	358
Richland	50	42	33	49	174
Total	2,493	2,361	2,624	2,631	10,109

Table 2
Source of Pre-Admission Consultation Referrals
 Most recent four quarters

Facility Type	July - September 2004	October - December 2004	January - March 2005	April - June 2005	Total
Nursing Home	2,184	2,046	2,260	2,292	8,782
Community Based Residential Facilities	205	179	228	225	837
Residential Care Apartment Complex	104	135	136	114	489
Adult Family Home	0	1	0	0	1
Total	2,493	2,361	2,624	2,631	10,107

Table 3 presents the number of information and assistance contacts for each resource center for the four most recently completed quarters. The number of contacts is only an approximation of the number of individuals who received information and assistance from the resource centers; one person may have made more than one contact during this period, while other single contacts assisted more than one person. A contact is defined as an exchange between a person seeking assistance or information and a resource center staff person trained to provide that assistance.

Table 3
Resource Center Contacts for Information and Assistance
 Most recent four quarters

Resource Centers	July - September 2004	October - December 2004	January - March 2005	April - June 2005	Total
Counties without CMOs					
Jackson	182	167	136	174	659
Kenosha Aging & PD	1,406	1,284	1,495	1,498	5,683
Kenosha DD	306	263	429	469	1,467
Marathon	860	813	1,009	1,444	4,126
Trempealeau	355	489	478	404	1,726
Counties with CMOs					
Fond du Lac	1,001	966	841	806	3,614
La Crosse	1,816	1,732	1,862	2,044	7,454
Milwaukee*	10,028	6,742	8,361	9,385	34,516
Portage	1,208	1,611	1,517	1,345	5,681
Richland	280	271	279	359	1,189
Total	17,442	14,338	16,407	17,928	66,115

* Starting with their June 2005 monthly Information and Assistance (I&A) Report, Milwaukee County began including I&A contacts received by their Resource Center Prevention Team as part of the county I&A contacts total.

Table 4 presents information about the types of information and assistance that people requested from the resource centers during the most recent quarter. The number of issues for which people sought help differs from the number of contacts reported in Table 3, because many contacts include requests for information or assistance with several issues. The categories have been defined as:

- **Basic needs and financial related services:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to issues such as benefits, Medical Assistance, health insurance, money problems, paying for food, shelter (other than residential long-term care), heating or air-conditioning or phone service, evictions, problems paying bills, or paying for medical care or drugs.
- **Disability and long-term care related services:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to services such as home support, care management, respite, equipment and training, transition planning, independent living skills, and hospice services.
- **Long-term care related living arrangements:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to consideration of permanent moves or temporary arrangements that are being contemplated because of a health, disability or frailty; home modifications or special living arrangements.
- **Health:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to issues such as declining health, recuperative care, diseases, conditions, dementia, health, health promotion or medical care, or health equipment loaning.
- **Transportation:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to arrangements and information on transportation issues and program information.
- **Paying for disability and long-term care related services:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to paying for long-term care services, including issues such as the ability to afford services and questions related to financial eligibility for a variety of long-term care programs.
- **Nutrition:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to services such as congregate or home-delivered meals, or nutrition counseling (i.e., diabetic or renal diet issues).
- **Home maintenance:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to issues such as chores, housecleaning, yard work, general home repairs, and home safety, other than home modifications needed to address a disability.
- **Legal:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to tax law, power of attorney, guardianship, consumer rights, advocacy, discrimination, or complaints.
- **Life enhancement:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to recreation, education that is not job related, social programs, or volunteerism.

- **Adult Protective Services (APS):** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to, or reports of, abuse, neglect, self neglect, domestic violence.
- **Behavioral health:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to issues such as mental health, substance abuse, concerns and treatments, depression, grief counseling.
- **Employment and training:** Contacts seeking information or assistance related to vocational rehabilitation, work, jobs, or training.

Table 4
Issues Presented by Resource Center Contacts
 April through June 2005

Focus of Inquiry	Number of Requests	Percentage
Disability and LTC Related Services	5,129	17.16 %
Basic Needs and Financial Related Services	5,117	17.12 %
Health Services	4,385	14.67 %
LTC Related Living Arrangements	3,691	12.35 %
Paying for Disability and LTC Services	2,014	6.74 %
Transportation Services	1,956	6.54 %
Nutrition Services	1,723	5.76 %
Legal Services	1,418	4.74 %
Behavioral Health Services	1,160	3.88 %
Adult Protective Services (APS)	1,053	3.52 %
Home Maintenance Services	1,048	3.51 %
Life Enhancement	623	2.08 %
Employment and Training Services	574	1.92 %
Total	29,891	100.00 %

Table 5 presents information on the outcomes of contacts that were accomplished during the most recently completed quarter. The number of outcomes will not necessarily equal the number of contacts shown on Table 3 or the number of issues raised shown in Table 4, for several reasons. One referral might resolve several issues, or one issue might require more than one referral. In addition, a contact that was initiated near the end of one quarter might not reach an outcome until after the beginning of the next.

Referrals are distinguished from giving people information, in that the resource center refers the caller to other services or resources, or is actively involved in obtaining a service or resource for a caller. The categories of outcomes have been defined as:

- **Information about long-term care services or resources:** Contact involves long-term care related information regarding services, resources, etc.

- **Information about other services or resources:** Contact involves other services, resources and/or other information.
- **Referral to Functional Screen:** This should include all referrals for a Functional Screen, which may include resource center-based long-term care options counseling.
- **Referral to private long-term care services:** This would include formal referrals to non-county agencies on behalf of private pay individuals.
- **Referral to public funding for programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, Food Stamps, Social Security:** Includes referrals made to link people to government benefits, such as to an Economic Support Unit/Worker, Benefit Specialist and Social Security Administration.
- **Referral to Adult Protective Services (APS):** Any referral to the County APS staff and/or elder abuse workers for elder abuse, financial abuse, self-neglect, placements, etc.
- **Referral to emergency services:** This would include services/actions to be delivered within 24 hours. It would include emergency food delivery, shelter, or emergency respite care or other immediate intervention.
- **Referral to services/resources other than emergency APS or LTC:** This category covers all other referrals.
- **Needs brief or short term services, follow-along or service coordination:** The use of this category will depend on the resource center. If the I&A worker sends all in-house referrals to either a long-term care unit or a distinct “access” unit, he or she may not know whether a contact requires brief services, and he or she would not be in the position of “following” contacts.
- **Noted for follow-up contact:** The I&A worker is providing information only, and making no referrals, *but* keeps a record of the contact in order to follow-up to make sure that the caller is okay, and/or to determine if the information was acted upon.

Table 5
Outcomes of Information & Assistance Contacts
 April through June 2005

Outcomes of Contacts	Number	Percentage
Information about Other Services or Resources	6,496	27.54 %
Information about Long-Term Care Services	4,930	20.90 %
Referral for Long-Term Care Functional Screen	4,253	18.03 %
Referral to Services or Resources Other than Emergency, APS, LTC	3,359	14.24 %
Needs follow-up contact from RC	2,084	8.84 %
Needs Brief or Short-Term Services or Service Coordination	879	3.73 %
Referral to Publicly Funded Services*	824	3.49 %
Referral to Adult Protective Services (APS)	539	2.29 %
Referral to Private LTC Services	181	0.77 %
Referral to Emergency Services	41	0.17 %
Total	23,586	100.00 %

* For programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, Food Stamps, Social Security

Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screen

The Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screen is an assessment tool that identifies the long-term care needs of an individual and is used to establish eligibility for certain programs, including the Family Care benefit. Functional screens are provided to individuals for one of three reasons:

- They are not currently Family Care members, but are seeking assessment of their long-term care needs for the purposes of considering their options (initial screens);
- They are CMO members whose functional needs are being reassessed for annual eligibility recertification; or
- They are CMO members who have recently experienced a change in condition, and need to have their needs reassessed.

Only resource centers administer initial screens; CMOs may administer annual and change-in-condition screens for their members.


Table 6 presents the number of *initial* functional screens completed during the most recent quarter. Not all of these individuals will seek enrollment in Family Care or publicly funded long-term care; in fact, many are not eligible. However, the figures provide an indication of the number of adults, by target group, who are actively exploring their long-term care needs with the help of the nine resource centers. **Figure 1** provides a graphic representation of this information for the most recent four quarters.

Table 6
Initial Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screens Completed, by Target Group*
 April through June 2005

	Elderly	Developmental Disabilities	Physical Disabilities	Disability - Unspecified	Total
Counties without CMOs					
Jackson	9	2	1	0	12
Kenosha Aging & PD	48	0	19	0	67
Kenosha DD	0	7	0	0	7
Marathon	56	12	18	1	87
Trempealeau	9	0	5	0	14
Counties with CMOs					
Fond du Lac	28	7	17	1	53
La Crosse	48	10	39	3	100
Milwaukee	568	5	8	0	581
Portage	30	9	18	1	58
Richland	7	4	4	0	15
Total	803	56	129	6	994

* The Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screen allows more than one Target Group to be selected for each individual screened. DHFS uses a "Target Group Hierarchy" to ensure that each person screened is assigned to only one Target Group for data in this report.

Figure 1
Initial Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screens by Target Group*
Most recent four quarters



* The Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screen allows more than one Target Group to be selected for each individual screened. DHFS uses a "Target Group Hierarchy" to ensure that each person screened is assigned to only one Target Group for data in this report.


Table 7 presents the total number of long-term care functional screens, of any type, that were completed using the most recent quarter. **Figure 2** provides a graphic representation of this information for the most recent four quarters.

Table 7
Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screens Completed
By Target Group* and Type of Screen
 April through June 2005

Type of Screen	Elderly	Developmental Disabilities	Physical Disabilities	Disability - Unspecified	Total
Initial	803	56	129	6	994
Change in Condition	363	17	40	0	420
Annual Recertification	1,646	371	246	0	2,263
Total	2,812	444	415	6	3,677

* The Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screen allows more than one Target Group to be selected for each individual screened. DHFS uses a "Target Group Hierarchy" to ensure that each person screened is assigned to only one Target Group for data in this report.

Figure 2
Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screens Completed By Type of Screen
 Most recent four quarters



Enrollment in Family Care CMOs

Tables 8, 9, and 10 present enrollment as of June 30, 2005, by target group, level of care and Medicaid status. These figures include all members whose eligibility for the Family Care benefit had been determined and recorded as of August 11, 2005. Enrollment procedures for additional individuals are underway. Some of the enrollments that are currently in progress will be recorded retroactively (that is, an enrollment may be recorded after August 11, 2005, retroactively effective as of June 2005.) As a result, enrollment figures for the most recent months *do not yet represent the total enrollment* that will be achieved after all in-process enrollments are completed. **Figure 3** shows the CMO enrollment trend for each of the CMO counties over the most recent four quarters.

Table 8
Total CMO Enrollment by Target Group*
June 30, 2005

CMO Counties	Elderly	Developmental Disabilities	Physical Disabilities	Target Group Not Recorded**	Total
Fond du Lac	473	325	150	1	949
La Crosse	621	492	555	3	1,671
Milwaukee	5,506	8	41	13	5,568
Portage	399	236	167	0	802
Richland	136	104	74	1	315
Total	7,135	1,165	987	18	9,305

* The Adult Long-Term Care Functional Screen allows more than one Target Group to be selected for each individual screened. DHFS uses a "Target Group Hierarchy" to ensure that each person screened is assigned to only one Target Group for data in this report.

** CMO members whose enrollment records cannot yet be matched with target-group information from their functional screens, usually because of the timing with which the data from the two sources are loaded into the central database.

Tables 9 and 10 present Family Care enrollment by level of care and by Medicaid status. Payment is provided to the CMOs on the basis of each member's level of care, either comprehensive or intermediate. A few members are 'grandfathered,' that is, do not meet functional eligibility criteria, but are enrolled on the basis of previous enrollment in related programs. The comprehensive level includes people who are functionally eligible for nursing home care under Medicaid requirements. The intermediate level includes people who need help with only one or a few daily activities and therefore are not eligible for nursing home care, but who are otherwise eligible for Medicaid or are in need of adult protective services. CMOs receive a higher monthly payment for comprehensive enrollees, which includes both federal and state funding, and a lower monthly payment for intermediate enrollees, which is funded entirely by the State. The comprehensive level includes a few people who are not functionally eligible for nursing home care, but who have very high needs for assistance. For these people, DHFS pays the CMO the higher monthly rate, but with no federal match funding unless the person has regular Medicaid.

Table 9
CMO Enrollment by Level of Care
 June 30, 2005

CMO Counties	Comprehensive	Intermediate	Total
Fond du Lac	923	26	949
La Crosse	1,573	98	1,671
Milwaukee	5,443	125	5,568
Portage	775	27	802
Richland	302	13	315
Total	9,016	289	9,305

Table 10
CMO Enrollment by Medicaid Status
 June 30, 2005

CMO Counties	MA Eligible	Non-MA Eligible	Total
Fond du Lac	935	14	949
La Crosse	1,633	38	1,671
Milwaukee	5,502	66	5,568
Portage	792	10	802
Richland	306	9	315
Total	9,168	137	9,305

Figure 3
CMO Enrollment
Enrollment Reached at the End of Each Quarter
Most recent four quarters




Table 11 presents cumulative disenrollments from Family Care CMOs through June 30, 2005, by cause of disenrollment, as recorded as of September 6, 2005. As with enrollments, disenrollments may take several months to process. A small number of members with recent, temporary loss of MA eligibility may ultimately not lose any continuity of CMO services, but this is a small, short-term exception to disenrollments caused by lost eligibility.

Table 11
CMO Disenrollments
 Cumulative through June 30, 2005

CMO Counties	Deceased	Lost Eligibility	Voluntary Disenrollment	Total
Fond du Lac	443	15	175	633
La Crosse	566	71	237	874
Milwaukee	2,411	148	670	3,229
Portage	341	15	77	433
Richland	129	2	38	169
Total	3,890	251	1,197	5,338